

Yubo Fan GENERAL CHEMISTRY I

BC Survey - Results 2022 Fall (16-Week - 221S), GENERAL CHEMISTRY I

Dear Instructor,

Below are the 2022 Fall (16-Week - 221S) survey results for "GENERAL CHEMISTRY I".

The overall indicator is listed first.

The second part of the analysis lists the average values of all individual questions.

Comments are listed at the report's end.

If you have any further questions, please reach out to a member of PIER.

Best regards,

Robert Wood Assistant Research Analyst Brazosport College 500 College Drive Lake Jackson, TX 77566 (979)-230-3189 robert.wood@brazosport.edu Yubo Fan 2022 Fall (16-Week - 221S) GENERAL CHEMISTRY I (CHEM1311 01221S) No. of responses = 6



Survey Results

3. Level of Agreeance: Instructions for course activities and assignments n=6 av.=3.8 strongly disagree N/A were clear. md=4 50 dev.=1.2 The workload in this course was well distributed n=6 strongly disagree N/A av.=4.5 md=4.5 throughout the term. 50 dev.=0.5 The course was delivered as outlined in the syllabus. n=6 strongly disagree N/A av.=4.2 md=4 50 dev.=0.8 Assessments/exams were based on material n=6 strongly disagree N/A covered in assignments, readings, lectures, videos, av.=3.3 md=3.5group activities, etc. 50 dev.=1.2 The instructor made learning relevant to my field of n=6 strongly disagree N/A av.=4.3 md=4.5 study, career, or degree. dev.=1.9 The instructor used a variety of instructional n=6 av.=2.5 strongly disagree N/A methods (examples: group discussions, student md=2 presentations, student activities, lab activities, online dev.=0.8 discussions, video assignments, case studies, etc.) The instructor provided timely feedback on my n=6 strongly disagree N/A av.=3.7 assignments. md = 3.550 dev.=1.6 The instructor exhibited professional behavior, n=6 strongly disagree N/A treated students respectfully, and used appropriate av.=4.2 md=4.5 language during class.

3.9)	The instructor provided grades within the stated timeframe.	gly disagree	100 50 0	0% 0% 33% 33% 33% 0% 1 2 3 4 5 6	N/A	n=6 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.9
3.10)	The instructor was accessible to answer questions and explain material outside of class according to office hours.	gly disagree	100 50	17% 0% 17% 17% 0% 1 2 3 4 5 6	N/A	n=6 av.=3.8 md=4.5 dev.=1.6
4.	Overall Satisfaction With This Course:					
4.1)	Please rate your overall satisfaction with the learning experience in this course.	Dissatisfied	100 50 0	17% 33% 33% 17% 0% 1 2 3 4 5	Very Satisfied	n=6 av.=2.5 md=2.5 dev.=1
5.	Course Recommendation:					
5.1)	How likely are you to recommend this course with this instructor to a friend?	ery Unlikely	100 50 0	17% 17% 0% 1 2 3 4 5	Very Likely	n=6 av.=2.3 md=2 dev.=1
7.	Student Demographics:					
7.1)	How many credits have you completed toward your degree of	or certifica	ate?)		
	0 - 30 complet	_			50%	n=6
	31 - 60 complet				33.3%	
	61 - 90 complet	ted credits (16.7%	
	91 + complet	ted credits			0%	
7.2)	This course is instructed as:					
	a face-to-fa	ace course			100%	n=6
	a hybrid/blended course (a combination of face-to-face and online in	nstruction)			0%	
	an online synchronous (students are required to participate online at a spe	ecific time)			0%	
	an online asynchronous (students view course materials at	t any time)			0%	
7.3)	This term I am currently enrolled in:					
	5 or less cr	redit hours (16.7%	n=6
	6 - 8 cr	redit hours (16.7%	
	9 - 11 cr	redit hours			0%	
	12 or more cr	redit hours (66.7%	

7.4) My current major is:							
Bachelor of Applied Technology (BAT)	0%	n=6					
Associate of Arts or Multi-Disciplinary Degree (AA)	16.7%						
Associate of Arts in Teaching (AAT)	16.7%						
Associate of Science (AS)	66.7%						
Associate of Applied Science (AAS) in a technical area	0%						
Certificate in a technical area	0%						
^{7.5)} I am currently a high school student taking college courses.							
Yes	0%	n=6					
No C	100%						

Comments Report

6. Open Response:

- 6.1) What are the strengths of this course?
- I believe the attitude and funny examples used when trying to example something.
- N/A
- Prof. Fan responded to questions outside of the classroom very quickly and was will to work with inaccurate grades given by the Aleks homework and quiz platform.
- The workload was not unmanageable and even with 6 other classes I was able to find time to do assignments.
- 6.2) What changes would you recommend that would improve this course?
- I would change from the Aleks platform as it is not a good learning tool. Somehow incorporate bonus problems.
- Making sure the students understand everything because if we don't understand what's the point of teaching.
- N/A
- Try making your own materials so that errors will not be as common and it might end up being more interesting.
- ^{6.3)} Any further, constructive comments?
- I believe what will work best for everyone understanding is slowing down and making sure the students understand everything before moving onto the next topic of the lecture. Along with a more caring attitude and outlook when a student ask a question because it could just be that don't understand something simple.
- N/A
- Using Aleks/McGraw-Hill as an instructional component/means to do homework was a poor choice.